

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/789,800	02/27/2004	Kevin Torek	303.871US1	5647
21186	7590 06/09/2005		EXAM	INER
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			HO, TU TU V	
	IS, MN 55402-0938		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2818	

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		D	100
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer	10/789,800	TOREK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
7	Tu-Tu Ho	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 February 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-95 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-95 are subject to restriction and/	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		(d).
,	Examinor. Note the attack	ou office Action of form 1 10 102.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		·	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		r Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	 1	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/ Restriction

Claims 1-95 are pending in this application.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 59-95, drawn to a memory device, classified in class 257, subclass 296.
 - II. Claims 1-45, drawn to a method of making a memory device, classified in class 438, subclass 238.
 - III. Claims 46-58, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 715.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- a) Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Invention I would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Invention II, since the device of the Invention I could be made by processes materially different from those of the Invention II. For example, the memory container having a double-sided capacitor of Invention I can be formed by ion etching a layer adjacent to the sidewall of the memory cell container, which is different from vapor phase etching as recited in Invention II. It is noted that the product as claimed is formed by a vapor phase etching, but the limitation is considered a non-limitation in a product claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,800

Art Unit: 2818

b) Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by an apparatus without a unit to generate a vapor, which is an apparatus materially different from the apparatus with the unit as claimed

Page 3

- c) Inventions III and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the product, as noted above, could be made by an apparatus having an ion source.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an 4. election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37) CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,800

Art Unit: 2818

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Page 4

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu-Tu Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1778. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 25, 2005